

Short title: Natvig and Co-Author: Demonstration manuscript

Demonstration manuscript illustrating the formatting required for submission to *Mycologia*

D. Natvig¹

A. Co-Author

Biology Department, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87131

Abstract: This facsimile manuscript demonstrates the application of the formatting directions in the [Instructions to Authors](#). The abstract is written as a single paragraph.

Key Words: abbreviations, bold font, headings, indentation, italics, literature cited

INTRODUCTION

The first paragraph following main headings is not indented. Subsequent paragraphs in the section are tab indented or use the word processor indent . Note that multiple references cited in within the text are in chronological order, while multiple citations from the same year are alphabetical. Paragraphs headed by a second level heading are not indented. The right margin is uneven, not justified. All text and tables are double spaced.

Note that the Key Words are alphabetized and supplement the title words; they do not duplicate them. Also remember that single sentences do not constitute a paragraph.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Use standard abbreviations as described in the [Instructions to Authors](#). Keep RESULTS and DISCUSSION sections separate and distinct from each other. Avoid presenting new data or redescribing previously presented data in the DISCUSSION.

TAXONOMY

This section demonstrates the correct form for second and third level headings. Note particularly the indentation, typography and spacing.

Taxonomic conventions.—Several conventions involve format. Second level headings are not indented and lack spaces around the hyphens. Heading lines (= special second level headings) for new taxa use roman bold for the genus and species and roman light elsewhere:

Fungus magnus Natvig et H. Collaborator, sp. nov. Figs. 1–5

Heading lines for descriptions of previously described taxa use light italics not bold, e.g.

Calonectria gracilipes Crous & G.R.A. Mchau, Mycologia 89: 653. 1997. Figs. 6–10

Specimens examined. List in paragraph form providing the information requested in the [Instructions to Authors](#). The third level headings are indented. TYPES are designated with the herbarium for deposit, e.g. (HOLOTYPE F, ISOTYPE NY)

Commentary. Additional explanations and comparisons with other taxa may be made here. Notice that the literature cited below lacks hanging indents, but places an extra line feed between citations to improve legibility during review.

LITERATURE CITED

Bennett JW, Arnold J. 2001. Genomics for Fungi. In: Howard R, Gow N. eds. Biology of the Fungal Cell. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag: The Mycota XIII:268–297.

Fallah PM. 1999. Ascomycetes from north temperature lakes in Wisconsin [Doctoral dissertation]. Urbana-Champaign: Univ. Illinois. 190 p.

Klich, MA. 2002. Biogeography of *Aspergillus* species in soil and litter. Mycologia 94(1):21–27.

Ridgway R. 1912. Color standards and color nomenclature. Washington, DC: Publ. by the author. 43 p., 53 pl.

Xiang X, Beckwith SM, Morris NR. 1994. Cytoplasmic dynein is involved in nuclear migration in *Aspergillus nidulans*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 91:2100–2104.

—, Morris N. 1999. Hyphal tip growth and nuclear migration. Curr Opin Microbiol 2:636–640.

Figs. 1–5. *Fungus magnus*. 1. Basidiospores. 2. Ascospores. 3. Sporangia. 4. Gametangia. 5. Habit view. Scale bars: 1–4 = 10 μ m, 5 = 1 m.

¹Corresponding author, Email: dnatvig@unm.edu